

Defining “Law” and removing satan’s abstract decoys

*Man’s historic “vague” law systems are just
open back doors for the world’s evil tyrants.*

Presented by
Biblical Correctness Ministries

A few summarized ways of seeing the law side of today's tyranny for those who don't have ten years to waste studying man's law.

Defining “Law” and removing satan’s abstract decoys

Unwritten law systems are just open back doors for the world’s evil tyrants

I thought it wise that, before getting into any law examples, I should explain a few things, doing so to help the reader understand why I am so zealously begging of him to read this greatly shortened heads up *in its entirety* for himself, as it is extremely important for every awakening individual in America to know at least the basics of what some of us have uncovered, offered with very little law gibberish to simplify it for the average Bible believer. Being called to “preach the kingdom of God”, true believers should be doing all that the Father would be calling us to do as his true servants and ecclesia, in order to prevail over these evils in such times, where we might even begin the great honor of inheriting the kingdoms of the earth for the glory of our wonderful YHWH and the benefit of our children and grandchildren.

Please know therefore that the definitions and encyclopedic references I’ve included here *[with all emphasis and inserts mine]* have all been written by men of the past who do not appear to hold as high a regard and hope for YHWH’s Law and governance brilliance as some of us true believers do, so I would ask that the reader keep in mind that these law references (of their own terminology) are included here mostly to show how the worldly see “law”, and that means even when they are either looking at their own multi-faceted humanist law system *or* our Father’s biblical law system, as they are trained to see both realms of law from their own “academia”-taught (meaning *Bible disregarding*) vantage point.

Unfortunately many of those who are then educated in today’s infinitely accumulating man-made law systems are also fast mesmerized by the picture of a successful lawyer’s materialistic lifestyle and are thereby further led, *temptation upon temptation*, into one of the most disrespectful careers ever devised by man against his Creator: The world of man-made Law and/or its beast government hierarchy.

No matter the final position that such career minded individuals might ultimately accept in such secular law circles, be they just clerical, paralegal, or an actual tyrant with a list of law degrees as long as his arm, most “law experts” are at least generally conditioned into seeing their man-based system of law as the one and only true source of all law in the known universe. This man-centered “*I wanna write the rules for the world*” mindset born of a God-denying elitist lordship then spreads and permeates society in a disease-like fashion, helping only to further inflate the lie of their “*I will be like the most high God*” overlords that insist that the laws of YHWH are nothing but a thing of the past, a myth, even a fairy tale to be laughed at like some

children's story. It is then that we in our time, in now also seeing through so many of these previously hidden layers of increasing tyranny, can then much more readily assess how such self-exalted luciferians have so lustfully followed in the footsteps of what we now know to have been some of the most dishonest and diabolical law minds in our world's history.

An increasing number of those who are up-and-coming in today's field of law seem to be even more so falling right into the trap of accepting satan's lead, which sadly believes now more than ever, that the creation is wiser than the Creator and therefore must write all of his own laws. Any deviation from this, and one fears being black-balled and cast out of their overlords' graces for any coming glory and fortune.

The purpose of this short reference guide therefore is to show the importance of understanding the underlying dangers in not knowing the difference between documented laws and undocumented laws and how the slavemasters have for generations, used these very same undocumented, unwritten and vague law systems that I am about to warn folks of here, against those of us who had been otherwise trying to promote a more biblically-structured world of peace for all who would love our King of kings with us.

We hope to then show our less familiar brethren a quick overview of an ancient but simple deceptive law tactic where they utilize abstract law systems to trap and enslave gullible societies. It is critical therefore, to also emphasize why we must learn to insist on being shown copies of all related documents when approached by those who then profess to be offering wonderful new solutions to today's tyranny crisis when they propose the use of any types of abstract or unwritten law systems such as "common law", "natural law", and others, themselves (hopefully ignorantly?) stating that their offered system of law is somehow come of God.

We would also suggest that if folks can't produce such documents (or law books, codebooks, etc) detailing their proposed law systems, then they are likely not genuinely proposing any type of *true* law system that can actually govern people by its own logical or mechanical harmony of moral guidelines in any way, because it is, by its very unwritten nature after all, completely absent of any and all such guidelines. Therefore such people are also only (perhaps at best) riding on their emotions and vivid imaginations that such a system is biblical, having no written evidence that could have given them this impression. They are more oft than not therefore, either just assuming that since its older law, that it must be closer to the Bible, or, they might be just "feeling", as yet others do, that any system with such a nice, natural, common-sounding title, surely must have some way of doing what it's wonderful-sounding title suggests. In not having any real documentation to back their suppositions on, it is clear that they simply bypassed the responsibilities of methodically researching and thinking these things through to see if it would even work. Some folks are only "dreaming out loud" in a sense, and not "governing" their train of thought on any chain of logic whereupon any true system of law can be properly founded in the first place. Put very simply then, these "nice sounding law systems" are only going to be eating

up your precious time of otherwise advancing the Father's true kingdom of governance, as their "plan" can only take you *without doubt*, only further back into the confusing quagmire of satanic governance, rather than forward into the Bible's solid protections and its eventual tyranny-free liberties.

There's more to come to show why we must force their hand, even when they might be old friends and associates, insisting that such folks prove, *in writing*, how their proposed law systems are genuinely and lawfully "biblical". [and this is to be done more so for your own folks who are yet unaware of this not only being an honest mistake in some folks, but also a tactic in others, as the more educated will already know that unwritten law systems can only counter the Father's written law anyway.] Otherwise any such undocumented or "*handed down throughout the ages*" law systems are simply cheap imitations that [often intentionally] stand in opposition to the Father's written laws, statutes and judgments, and thereby satanic in nature. The world's unsaved and lukewarm will probably forever deny that YHWH's written laws are all that we need, preferring to take society into some utopian replacement for the Father's genuine law system, hoping to somehow instead magically insert some abstract form of law into the mess we're in today, click their heels, and make the world all wonderful and happy again in a warmed-over politically correct way of some kind. And although I hold a great deal of sympathy for those who are not as studied in both the Bible and satan's opposing ("antichrist") law systems to a point where they might more readily appreciate the seriousness of this spiritually originated law contest between the two jurisdictions (YHWH vs. satan), it is nonetheless, everybody's very lives, souls, and eternities that are at stake. I therefore can't stress enough that each and every one of those who have yet to think this over should be shaking in their boots, fearing Him that is now selecting only those who "get it" and are therefore willing to give their all to be on His side in this battle. Whether great or small, rich or poor, etc, we are all being called to stand on either the Father's side of this final battle or satan's, where each and every one of us ought to be doing our diligent best to better understand the simple mechanics of choosing which of the two opposing law systems / jurisdiction / authority figures we prefer.

This is the time we have all been warned about in the Bible, where we are all being called to "*Choose ye this day whom ye will serve*" (*Joshua 24:15*), and where we all need to make better educated decisions as to which of these two theocracies we are choosing for ourselves. Ultimately it's the Father Himself who insists that we choose sides with commitment, where He and His Son will be refusing the cries of those who "*work iniquity*" (or "*work lawlessness*" see the Greek for *Matthew 7:23*), and those who can't make up their minds (see *Revelation 3:16*). Such folks of indecision (which might even be those offering the vague law systems we'll be talking about here) are often those that have difficulty in grasping the basic concept of how "authority" must be established prior to "law" anyway, not comprehensively understanding that the purpose of "law" in the first place (the base of all governments once written down and established) is to remove all gray areas of disagreement in one's nation, (unless of course, such folks would instead prefer to leave plenty of loopholes for the criminals and tyrants among them to flourish).

How about you? Most today know the classic gun law motto: *“When guns are outlawed, only outlaws will have guns”*, likewise, in vague law systems only the most devious minds (the outlaws) will find ways to use such vague laws as their own loopholes for evil purposes against the innocent, just as we have outlaws (lawyers and politicians) doing to us today, and this is the part that we hope to help readers better understand as we proceed through this study.

Now, in all fairness, we recognize that a general rule of thumb (when it comes to laws over a society) is that, “the more vague the law system, the more liberties”. For most of us this makes perfect sense. However, there’s also an inseparable, time-tested, and dangerous variable to that formula when such laws are left to man’s dictates, and that is, that the more vague you leave the laws, the more likely that the evil side of man will always quickly find ways to eventually close them in with his own infinitely increasing oppressive edicts, as we have found to be true over and over again and especially now in our own time.

Therefore, to have “an outsider” write the laws for us, (and as I wrote in my book “Bullies for satan”) we can then feel more comfortable that someone hasn’t had their sneaky hand in the cookie jar of law when we weren’t looking as well. An unbiased God serves that purpose very nicely my friends, especially if He also has the enforcement power that the innocent will need should evil men try to start anything funny, and again, like they are doing today. The more serious the Bible believer gets in understanding his God, the more he learns to understand and embrace all of this, because part of the purpose of this polarization of men into these two camps, is to also separate the men from the boys (so to speak) in YHWH’s perfect plan for mankind, sending the brave and compassionate to a flawless life of reward, while sending the selfish and cowardly to a place of permanent disconnect from God and His laws of love, just like they were trying to do anyway.

It seems that the more zealous (I would rather not use the word desperate) folks that are starting to promote these abstract law systems lately, are those who were very vocal advocates of a supposed “biblically inspired” U.S. Constitution not so long ago, including the “Christian Constitutionalists”, the Ron Paul supporters, the Chuck Baldwin supporters (both men of which still strongly support the U.S. Constitution by the way*), and many other smaller groups. I myself was on board with some of this some years back, but was ousted from many such circles when, beginning roughly a decade ago, I argued with many big name people that the Bible was more to be respected than the Constitution when it came to the final referee methods that were needed in this nation. I am hopeful for some of the more admirable people coming out of these camps today (and I give them much credit for such advances, hoping that

* At the original time of this writing, a strong support of the existing Constitution was held by both of these men, but in all fairness to them due to recent statements from somewhat close sources to both men, I’m told that (in varying ways) they might now be willing to accept a new document of some kind. Could I offer a suggestion fellas? ... How about one that’d remove all vagueness and be very uncomplicated to both write and understand such as this:

“We His People” of America, follow YHWH’s “perfect law of liberty”, His Holy Bible”. ← (Lawyers: Please note the period.)

they will continue in the right directions), as they are at least waking up to some of the biblical problems that some like myself have been warning folks about regarding the U.S. Constitution and are thankfully no longer unquestionably trusting in that document as if it were the third Testament of the Bible. The tragedy is however, that they have not yet seen the real underlying reason why the Constitution is not working or able to save them: it's a completely man-made law, specifically designed by its *non*-specifics (cleverly disguised vagueness in just the right areas) to have done just what it was intended to do, which was to eventually take Puritan America completely away from their Bible. And although many in these groups claim to be devout, fundamentalist "Christians", they are unknowingly now only jumping from one sinking satanic ship to another when crying out for the abstract and unwritten law systems of the past, most of them ignorantly not knowing that most of these were the very law systems or "the bait" that had originally begun to sway the world away from the Father's protections and into humanism in the first place, opening the doors for the more cleverly worded and recorded versions that had yes, come much later in more sophisticated (read: sophistry-loaded) documents like the U.S Constitution and so forth.

The Bible's laws are not born of some abstract law system that was "unwritten", "unspoken" or even "*accumulatively brought into existence via generations of customs*" as so many humanists would want you to believe (*as again, that is how their system of ever-confusing laws are often deceptively put into place to begin with*), but were openly offered for all to see and written into Scripture with such a perfect level of clarity that there can be no mistake as to who is in authority, what the laws are, and then how to even guide ourselves in complete perfection under that system just as the Scriptures themselves reassure us:

"And that from a child thou hast known the holy scriptures, which are able to make thee wise unto salvation [*including the salvation [rescue] from tyrannical men and their lawgivers*] through faith which is in Christ Jesus. All scripture *is* given by inspiration of God, and *is* profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness: That the man of God may be perfect, throughly furnished unto all good works."

(2 Timothy 3:15-17)

So what are the problems with these "abstract law systems" anyway? Let's now look at a few of the world's own documents, letting the reader see how they speak for themselves, beginning with the basic secular definition for law in general:

From Black's Law dictionary of 1891 (first edition):

LAW. 1. That which is laid down, ordained, or established. A rule or method according to which phenomena or actions coexist to follow each other.

2. A system of principles and rules of human conduct, being the aggregate of those commandments and principles which are either prescribed or

recognized by the governing power in an organized jural society as its will in relation to the conduct of the members of such society, and which it undertakes to maintain and sanction and to use as the *criteria* of the actions of such members.

“Law,” without an article, properly implies a *science* or *system* of principles or rules of human conduct, answering to the Latin “*jus*,” as when it is spoken of as a subject of study or practice. In this sense, it includes the decisions of courts of justice, as well as acts of the legislature. The judgment of a competent court, until reversed or otherwise superceded, is law, as much as any statute. Indeed, it may happen that a statute may be passed in violation of law, that is, of the fundamental law or constitution of a state; and it is the prerogative of courts in such cases to declare it void, to declare it not to be law. Burril.

3. A rule of civil conduct prescribed by the supreme power in a state. 1 Steph. Comm. 25; Civil Code Dak. § 2; Pol. Code Cal § 4466.

A “law,” in the proper sense of the term, is a general rule of human action, taking cognizance only of external acts, enforced by a determinate authority, which authority is human, and among human authorities is that which is paramount in a political society. Holl. Jur. 36.

A “law,” properly so called, is a command which obliges a person or persons; and, as distinguished from a particular or occasional command obliges generally to acts or forbearances of a class. Aust. Jur.

A rule or enactment promulgated by the legislative authority of a state; a long-established local custom which has the force of such an enactment. 10 Pet. 18.

4. In another sense the word signifies an enactment; a distinct and complete act of positive law; a statute, as opposed to rule of civil conduct deduced from the customs of the people or judicial precedents.

When the term “law” is used to denote enactments of the legislative power, it is frequently confined, especially by English writers, to permanent rules of civil conduct, as distinguished from other acts, such as a divorce act, an appropriation bill, an estates act. Rep. Eng. St. L. Com. Mar. 1856.

Historically considered. With reference to its origin, “law” is derived either from judicial precedents, from legislation, or from custom. That part of the law which is derived from judicial precedents is called “common law” or “ecclesiastical law,” according to the nature of the courts by which it was originally enforced. (see the respective titles.) That part of law which is derived from legislation is called the “statute law.” Many statutes are classed under one of the divisions above mentioned because they have merely modified or extended portions of it, while others have created altogether new rules. That part of the law which is derived from customary law,” as to which, see CUSTOM. Sweet.

The earliest notion of law was not an enumeration of principle, but a judgment in a particular case. When pronounced in the early ages, by a king, it was assumed to be the result of direct divine inspiration. Afterwards came the notion of a custom which a judgment affirms, or punishes its breach. In the outset, however, the only authoritative statement of right and wrong is a judicial sentence rendered after the fact has occurred. It does not presuppose a law to have been violated, but is enacted for the first time by a higher form into the judge's mind at the moment of adjudication. Maine, *Anc. Law*, (Dwight's Ed.) pp. xv, 5.

Synonyms and distinctions. According to the usage in the United States, the name "constitution" is commonly given to the organic or fundamental law of a state, and the term "law" is used in contradistinction to the former, to denote a statute or enactment of the legislative body.

"Law," as distinguished from "equity," denotes the doctrine and procedure of the common law of England and America, from which equity is a departure.

The term is also used in opposition to "fact." Thus questions of law are to be decided by the court, while it is the province of the jury to solve questions of fact.

Classification. With reference to its subject-matter, law is either *public* or *private*. Public law is that part of the law which deals with the state, either by itself or in its relations with individuals, and is divided into (1) constitutional law; (2) administrative law; (3) criminal law; (4) criminal procedure; (5) the law of the state considered in its *quasi* private personality; (6) the procedure relating to the state as so considered. Holl. Jur. 300.

Law is also divided into *substantive* and *adjective*. Substantive law is that part of the law which creates rights and obligations, while adjective law provides a method of enforcing and protecting them. In other words, adjective law is the law of procedure. Holl. Jur. 61, 238.

The ordinary, but not very useful, division of law into *written* and *unwritten* rests on the same principle. The written law is the statute law; the unwritten law is the common law, (*q. v*) 1 Steph. Comm. 40, following Blackstone.

Author's note: So then what *is* such a law if it is "unwritten"? Is the reader beginning to see the simplicity behind the shroud here? Blackstone, a famous secular law guru from the past, seemed to love being the one in control of the vague open doors of law (knowing full well I believe, that such a law system was in full rebellion to God's written law) where only such folks as he would be privy to the knowledge of, and the keys to unlock it, for their own benefit, manipulating the masses via elitist controlled "vagueness". Folks then presenting defense litigations such as "void for vagueness" (in hopes of remedy for one's felt injustices) would not always work for the peasantry unless it also benefited the court and the ruling class somehow!

Kinds of statutes. Statutes are called “general” or “public” when they affect the community at large; and local or special when their operation is confined to a limited region, or a particular class or interest.

Statutes are also either prospective or respective; the former, when they are intended to operate upon future classes only; the latter, when they may also embrace transactions occurring before their passage.

Statutes are called “enabling” when they confer new powers; “remedial” when their effect is to provide relief or reform abuses; “penal” when they impose punishment, pecuniary or corporal, for a violation of their provisions.

5. In old English jurisprudence, “law” is used to signify an oath, or the privilege of being sworn; as in the phrases “to wage one’s law,” “to lose one’s law.”

As to the different kinds of law, or law regarded in its different aspects, see ADJECTIVE LAW; ADMINISTRATIVE LAW; CONSTITUTIONAL LAW; CRIMINAL LAW; INTERNATIONAL LAW; LAW OF NATIONS; LAW OF NATURE; LAW-MERCHANT; MUNICIPAL LAW; POSITIVE LAW; PRIVATE LAW; PUBLIC LAW; RETROSPECTIVE LAW; SUBSTANTIVE LAW.

Also from Black’s Law dictionary of 1891:

NATURAL LAW. The rule and dictate of right reason, showing the moral deformity or moral necessity there is in any act, according to its suitableness or unsuitableness to a reasonable nature. Tayl. Civil Law, 99.

This expression. “natural law,” or *jus naturale*, was largely used in the philosophical speculations of the Roman jurists of the Antonine age, and was intended to denote a system of rules and principles for the guidance of human conduct which, independently of enacted law or of the systems peculiar to any one people, might be discovered by the rational intelligence of man, and would be found to grow out of and conform to his *nature*, meaning by that word his whole mental, moral, and physical constitution. The point of departure for this conception was the Stoic doctrine of a life ordered “according to nature,” which in its turn rested upon the purely suppositious existence, in primitive times, of a “state of nature;” that is, a condition of society in which men universally were governed solely by a rational and consistent obedience to the needs, impulses, and promptings of their true nature, such nature being as yet undefaced by dishonesty, falsehood, or indulgence of the baser passions. See Maine, *Anc. Law*, 50, et seq.

Also from Black's Law dictionary of 1891 and certainly not to be confused with the above "NATURAL LAW", but hereby included as a reference only for those who might unknowingly confuse the two. It is merely offered to show how the "laws of nature" are yet still, at the time of this writing in 1891, seen as the science related aspects of God as somewhat still affecting human relations, but yet still no mention of the Bible as arbitrator in even any remote sense. This definition being especially and fully abstract (in quite a few clever dance steps here), they elude to "right reason", "the light of reason" and "divine revelation", none of which steer the reader to ANY written laws of any kind, including of course any of the Father's biblical laws, statutes and judgments that He insists upon for those of us who do not wish to be taken captive and perhaps even perish under such tyrannical leadership punishments. They instead offer a plethora of ways to see this "natural", abstract, "adjustable" (when convenient for leadership of course) form of law. It is in this vague or abstract form that such systems can be made to mean just about anything they'd like them to mean when pressed for certain conclusions in their courts, where they might include (as their phrase below "*best writers in the will of God*" would so conveniently allow them to choose their own "best writers", meaning...) the edicts of a Pope and/or the heinous edicts of any other god-professing genocidal tyrant that feels he has the vagueness of law before him to then write such "Laws of Nature" to his benefit (as Hitler had done), as is again proven by the way they refer right back to the term "*jus naturale*" below, which, as we saw earlier in the previous definition ("NATURAL LAW"), was itself absolutely void of even the slightest mention of the written Laws of YHWH. But here is that humanist-flavored definition for your perusal nonetheless:

LAW OF NATURE. A rule of conduct arising out of the natural relations of human beings, established by the Creator, and existing prior to any positive precept. Webster. The foundation of this law is placed by the best writers in the will of God, discovered by right reason, and aided by divine revelation; and its principles, when applicable, apply with equal obligation to individuals and to nations. 1 Kent, Comm. 2, note; Id. 4, note. See JUS NATURALE.

We understand all laws to be either human or divine, according as they have man or God for their author; and divine laws are of two kinds, that is to say: (1) Natural laws; (2) positive or revealed laws. A natural law is defined by Burlamaqui to be "a rule which so necessarily agrees with the nature and state of man that, without observing its maxims, the peace and happiness of a society can never be preserved." And he says that these are called "natural laws" because a knowledge of them may be attained merely by the light of reason, from the fact of their essential agreeableness with the constitution of human nature; while, on the contrary, positive or revealed

laws are not founded upon the general constitution of human nature, but only upon the will of God; though in other respects such law is established upon very good reason, and procures the advantage of those to whom it is sent. The ceremonial or political laws of the Jews are of this latter class [they are referring to the post Messiah or “Hillel II-type” “Jews” here and similar (elitist-submissive) factions. The ancient Jews (Hebrews) followed the Laws, statutes, and judgments of YHWH’s Scripture]. 11 Ark. 527.

Please also note: That in Black’s Law dictionary of 1891, the definition of “COMMON LAW” has six definitions, not one of which is in the least bit pointing back in any way to biblical sources. They include:

1. As distinguished from the Roman Law, the modern civil law, the canon law, and other systems, the common law is that body of law and juristic theory [*where “theory” is admitting that it is not defined and is therefore vague*] which was originated, developed, and formulated and is administered in England, and has obtained among most of the states and peoples of the Anglo-Saxon stock.
2. As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures, the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs; and, in this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England.
3. As distinguished from equity law, it is a body of rules and principles, written or unwritten, which are of fixed and immutable authority, and which must be applied to controversies rigorously and in their entirety, and cannot be modified to suit the peculiarities of a specific case, or colored by any judicial discretion, and which rests confessedly upon custom or statute, as distinguished from any claim to ethical superiority.
[in other words, this one even if based on the statutes of men, would be those man-ordained laws that are distinct from any of the ethics of any God or Bible; man must remain the “ethical superior” over God in such cases, as is consistent with all the common law, humanist law explanations that this author has read over the years by those who dictate ‘secular’ law]
4. As distinguished from ecclesiastical law, it is the system of jurisprudence administered by the purely secular tribunals.
5. As concerns its force and authority in the United States, the phrase designates that portion of the common law of England (including such acts

of Parliament as were applicable) which had been adopted and was in force here at the time of the Revolution. This, so far as it has not since been expressly abrogated, is recognized as an organic part of the jurisprudence of most of the United States.

[Law connected to origins in England and the parliament as were in force upon America during the Revolution. “This so far as it has not been abrogated, is recognized as an organic part of the jurisprudence of most of the United States.” --in other words, “common law” when appealed to by those who are unintelligibly seeking it as a last resort of remedy to their tyranny, is only throwing the subject right back into a non-biblical, humanist side of the United States courts. It is a pretend back door (so to speak) of the very same system of courts that we are presently in, co-joined in manipulation over the masses with the admiralty laws and parliamentary procedures of a judge in a black robe, the gold fringe around the flag, the swinging courtroom gate, etc.]

6. “In a wider sense than any of the foregoing [definitions], the “common law” may designate all that part of the positive law, juristic theory, and ancient custom of any state or nation which is of general and universal application, thus marking off special or local rules or customs.”

Where is there, in any of these documented definitions of “common law” above, even the slightest hint of biblical law or biblical origins for this “theory” system? Just as the Natural law then, it is not biblical but a mere *mirage* of wholesome laws under the vagueness of the powers who have taken control of the courts via their own successfully-enthroned sacred law books. These many books of law that they have written (some public, some private) that are in opposition to the laws of YHWH, are all written along the same lines of the very volumes that we are taking excerpts from here today, where these religious books that “establish” their god’s “laid down” law are all written as clever distractions from the one true law book that the worldly hate to face, the Holy Bible.

Excerpts from the American People’s Encyclopedia, Spencer Press, 1955 under the heading, “NATURAL LAW”:

NATURAL LAW, that which has been declared by various legal philosophers to arise out of the essential nature of man and society.

... The search for the origins of natural law goes back to the Greek SOPHISTS.

... Moral concepts were defined which were believed to be absolute, not subject to human contrivances except by error or evil, and the search for which constituted the highest task of the legal philosophers. Aristotle,

shortly afterward, essentially agreed on the existence of a natural law but referred constantly to the existing constitutions of society for generalizations about the law of all.

... Roman legal concepts were influenced to a considerable extent by the STOICS who maintained a belief in a universal moral law governing the “brotherhood of man”. The *jus gentium*, on the other hand, was an extraction of the common elements from the many foreign law systems that found themselves within the jurisdiction of the Roman Empire. The *jus gentium* was actually applied by the *praetor peregrinus* (foreigners’ magistrate) and was a Roman concession to the many nationalities that traded and traveled through the empire. Although at first this law lacked prestige in contrast to the methodical Roman civil law, the passage of time plus the increasing resemblance of the *jus gentium* to the developing principles of natural law brought it support and respect. Toward the end of the Roman empire, the civil law of Rome was combined with the elements of the *jus gentium* and the *jus naturale* to form a united whole, culminating in the great code of Justinian (A.D. 533).

As southern Europe recovered from the barbarian invasions, a revival of interest in Roman law occurred, principally at the hands of Catholic churchmen. THOMAS AQUINAS asserted that the perfect reason of God governed his divine will, and declared that from God’s reason came an eternal law governing all things. “Revealed” natural law is the mystical perception of this *lex aeterna*, the achievement of the highest understanding with the aid of divine grace. For the most part, man is capable only of passing approximate judgments on the eternal law of nature. That is why many differences exist among human legal systems and many flaws are found in human laws. However, by studying the nature of God, man can discover workable principles of natural law and correct many errors. Since the *lex aeterna* was superior to human natural law, the church, which was custodian of the former, could determine the right principles for the conduct of government.

Secularization and Democratic Natural Law. The arguments of Thomas were subject to strong criticism by the nominalists, including William of OCCAM, who asserted that natural law came as an expression of the will of God and that no final earthly authority could define the principles of natural law. The anticlerical aspects of this theory were used by the Reformation Protestant theologians. Meanwhile MARSILIUS OF PADUA was developing a third line of thought which became the ancestor of modern theories of the sovereignty of the people. He wrote that the only qualified guardian of

natural law, human or divine, was the whole people in the state and the whole body of believers in the church.

Drawing from MARSILIUS, the Catholic and Protestant Monarchomachs proclaimed the inalienable rights of the people as a principle of natural law in the period following the Reformation. They publicized the old principle of natural law which reduced government to the consent of the people and which allowed assassination of tyrannous rulers. This was the beginning of a general movement of opinion in the direction of the natural rights of man doctrine. Some resistance was met by writers such as Machiavelli and Hobbes who declared the natural state of man to be an evil one which could only be repressed by the positive law of the absolute state. In contrast, the English levelers of the middle 17th century, the Independents such as MILTON, JOHN LOCKE, and JACQUES ROUSSEAU, drove into many minds the idea of natural rights (including in the case of Locke the rights of liberty and property) which underlay the revolutions of 1776 in America, of 1789 in France, and which thenceforth spread throughout the world in the 19th century.

Meanwhile the field of international law was progressing with the aid of a considerable body of Roman and natural law which had been taken over by GROTIUS, Emmerich de Vattel, and Puffendorf and used to attack the problem of disputes between states. Grotius rejected the interference of God in natural law and claimed, as did several followers of Aquinas, that the law of nature was independent of the will of God. This was the beginning of a philosophical, mechanical school, typified by Hobbes and Spinoza, which separated the study of nature from the study of God and applied the logic of the physical sciences to the study of human society. ...

Law is not something that can simply be imagined into place by the minds of those being oppressed where it will just magically appear and start working for us under vaguely defined parameters, it must be officially and authoritatively laid on the table by one in great authority, as a fully written and prepared system, and then unchallengeably enforced by that authority's power. In other words, we can't just "wish" laws into place because they sound nice, they have to be "established". We can't just take "unspoken laws" and somehow enact such abstract things onto a society or universe, although I do know a wonderful Being that can do such a thing, and that's exactly how He did begin the universe, bringing it into existence with His spoken word, which was the harmony of all His laws, being the combined symphony of not just the entire spectrum of the universe's physical and scientific laws, but also its irreversibly interconnected moral laws, statutes and judgments designed to rule over the free will minds of those that were each gracefully given individual opportunity to either embrace or rebel against their own given part and limited powers within that creation. Please let me also add here that YHWH is not Himself in need of such

written laws, as the only reason that our laws must be “*cast in stone*” (as YHWH had originally and lovingly taught us to also do) is simply because man has always had this certain uncanny but convenient “*selective memory loss*” problem that he was never able to rid himself of for some reason. Ever notice this?

Those claiming that they are taking America (or the world for that matter) back to “natural Law” for God, are in fact, (and either ignorantly or intentionally), merely stepping back or reverting into the various original forms of the world’s cleverly authored humanist law packages that, due to well rehearsed, less aggressively presented wording, only “sounds” like it comes from God, and are merely the various deceptions that had gotten us to this point of a godless tyranny in the first place. This use of a vague imaginary law system (that sounds good on the surface but is woefully absent any detailed facsimiles of biblical truth in writing) is largely what the Bible itself seemed to have been literally warning us about throughout the New Testament when saying “*be ye not deceived*”.

This deception is becoming better understood as some of us are seeing how the beast is being uncovered as of late, but it is simply the secretly practiced art of playing a shell game against one’s peasantry with words, and is a very serious weapon of deception against the innocent and the willfully ignorant in something one might also call “metonymy” or perhaps “wordcraft” (which one might say is basically a form of witchcraft or satanism performed in the language realm), where a system of surrogate (often “lucifer honoring”) words are inconspicuously layered or injected into an otherwise clean, native language of a society of obedient Bible believers, thus slowly drawing them away from the laws commanded them by YHWH [*a modern-day form of “yea, hath God said?”*]).

The intended result is a dual language system where only the uppermost ruling class have the ultimate keys of understanding, creating a pyramid-down atmosphere of such confusion and intimidation in such a people’s courtrooms that it generally causes the original societal instructions of YHWH to be lost or even completely forgotten in the shuffle. Most people eventually become so overwhelmed by court appointments, lawyer fees, and so many mountains of paperwork, that they throw their hands up in the air and simply submit to the authorities (who then seem to somehow be more intelligent than they are), settling for whatever they are told, being so deeply lost in the maze of infinite legal avenues and sub-avenues, with so many of those avenues having locked doors at the end, that they either lose sight of, or give up trying to pursue, the original moral instincts they had previously thought would be wholesome and proper recourse to their particular court case. The more common surface term for this phenomenon where only a select few are privy to the true simplicity behind this dual-language chaos, some of you might know by the more familiar label known as “legalese”. Those trained in the use of these Bible removing distractions eventually take control over a nation’s masses for the ruling class and ultimately for their god Lucifer, while the “peasant” is often left feeling so intellectually inferior and thereby embarrassed that, sometimes after many years of study and research, they simply walk away, submissively going back to their slave positions,

feeling helplessly defeated. They for the most part had continued in the hope that the system was supposed to work for good honest people, if they had just found the right combination of law remedies, procedures, etc, all the while not aware that the entire system was literally designed from the very start through this “metonymy” and “wordcraft” to eventually never leave any real ways of escape from the increasingly and secretly labeled, “taboo” topics in the luciferian’s multi-faceted, multi-layered, but “one way street” court system. Of course, and just for the record, the usual two-party political structure of any given nation (or global governance) that is then built-up around such a “legal” pyramid is just the more glorified hierarchy or their “capstone” of evil in this very same idolatrous system.

So now, just to make sure that all the dots are being connected here for the reader, lets now look at the definition of “nature” as defined by the Webster’s 1828 dictionary:

Nature

NATURE, n. [L. from nature, born, produced,]

1. In a general sense, whatever is made or produced; a word that comprehends all the works of God; the universe. Of a phoenix we say, there is no such thing in nature.

And look through nature up to natures God.

2. By a metonymy of the effect for the cause, nature is used for the agent, creator, author, producer of things, or for the powers that produce them. By the expression, trees and fossils are produced by nature, we mean, they are formed or produced by certain inherent powers in matter, or we mean that they are produced by God, the Creator, the Author of whatever is made or produced. The opinion that things are produced by inherent powers of matter, independent of a supreme intelligent author, is atheism. But generally men mean by nature, thus used, the Author of created things, or the operation of his power.

3. The essence, essential qualities or attributes of a thing, which constitute it what it is; as the nature of the soul; the nature of blood; the nature of a fluid; the nature of plants, or of a metal; the nature of a circle or an angle. When we speak of the nature of man, we understand the peculiar constitution of his body or mind, or the qualities of the species which distinguish him from other animals. When we speak of the nature of a man, or an individual of the race, we mean his particular qualities or constitution; either the peculiar temperament of his body, or the affections of his mind, his natural appetites, passions, disposition or temper. So of irrational animals.

4. The established or regular course of things; as when we say, an event is not according to nature, or it is out of the order of nature.

5. A law or principle of action or motion in a natural body. A stone by nature falls, or inclines to fall.

6. Constitution aggregate powers of a body, especially a living one. We say, nature is strong or weak; nature is almost exhausted.

7. The constitution and appearances of things.

The works, whether of poets, painters, moralists or historians, which are built upon general nature, live forever.

8. Natural affection or reverence.

Have we not seen, the murdering son ascend his parents bed through violated nature force his way?

9. System of created things.

He binding nature fast in fate, Left conscience free and will.

10. Sort; species; kind; particular character.

A dispute of this nature caused mischief to a king and an archbishop.

11. Sentiments r images conformed to nature, or to truth and reality.

Only nature can please those tastes which are unprejudiced and refined.

12. Birth. No man is noble by nature.

NATURE, v.t. To endow with natural qualities. [Not in use]

If we look at definition 1 only, we would assume that anyone using the term “nature” is specifically alluding to the physical world around us that was Created by God. But then we continue on in the remaining definitions listed and see that there are atheistic values for that word as well, where then we must ask anyone who is offering us this term (especially if intended in any lawful sense) to be more specific, otherwise they could potentially be thinking along the lines of one side of these definitions, and we the other (which is sadly the purpose of some of these people in our time, doing so to intentionally deceive via: “controlled opposition”).

Therefore, such specifics should be “laid down, ordained, or established” (as even their own Black’s law book itself describes right out of the gate under “LAW” back on page 6), and even if just mildly requested in casual conversation, and as mentioned earlier, it is generally understood by those who better understand the workings of law systems, that the concept and purpose of law itself is to dictate and thereby remove any and all undefined grey areas that would otherwise cause indifference without resolve in societal conflicts. Such specifics are expected to be clearly revealed or referred to in either writing or (very temporarily until such writings can be officially drawn up) sworn in irrevocable verbal commitment between honest peoples of any given society, laying out whatever detail might be necessary in explaining which laws and law systems (such as “natural” or “common”) that such an individual is specifically referring to.

Whether they are referring to the “common” morals or the “nature” of a Biblical God named YHWH, or, if they are perhaps referring to the nature or common thoughts of those who hold to a proclaimed total atheism, it still must be declared in some “established record” of sorts, where all opportunities for abstracts are removed to keep the criminal mind from taking advantage of such open-ended vagueness. It

must also be done to remove any and all suspicion that such a vaguely-worded suggestion is presenting any type of imitation “god” that then might be getting “slid in under the door” as a deceptive decoy by those trying to intimate that such a system is the same as the written laws of the God of the Bible. In all reality such folks might even be attempting to quietly cause much less of what they see as “overbearing, biblical laws” from being inserted into their governance plan, thus actually favoring such vagueness that would then grant them more “liberties” in their own sins of choice, perhaps even hoping to avoid the direct written laws of the Bible altogether, and sadly... sometimes even if those biblical laws could be their very last hope in avoiding total tyranny and genocide over them. They often even subtly offer their generalized abstracts, perhaps even hoping to later quietly promote or write their own specific laws to fill in the empty spots under the pretense that, on the surface in the presence of others, they are somehow now, and will say in the future that they “have been all along”, promoting the laws of the God of the Bible. Some people, such as Christian patriots and activists, are often doing this with good intentions, but in an uneducated ignorance, having been trained by their government/church system to know nothing of the true laws and biblical concepts that we are touching ever so mildly upon here. Offering these simple, previously well-hidden axioms of truth regarding YHWH’s law system vs. satan’s imitations to others therefore, ought to be done with provable biblical wisdom, meekness and gentleness, and yes, even offered to associates that you might consider to be “controlled opposition”, as even they have hearts and have been tricked just as badly as (if not worse than) the rest of us have, so please treat these folks with care as well, so long as they have not shown themselves to be hopeless cases or disruptive in any way.

Vague or unwritten law systems therefore are the tyrant’s way of saying (without actually openly saying it...), “There is no real established law except what we make the laws say in order to fit our needs when we need them against you lazy peasants. We will give you vague then confusing law systems to spend your lives trying to decipher, and when you can’t find ways to wiggle out of them all, we will then during that time, choose which parts of those vague laws best fit our current needs in crushing you peons even further into our system of slavery.”

So now, do we really want unwritten laws such as “natural law, common law, and so on?

Genuine Law come of the Father on the other hand, is written down in black and white in the Bible my friends, it is not carried into society via vague or “unwritten, unspoken laws” as those who still follow the edicts of humanism would have us believe, where they often play with the wording of the definitions above looking for as much “wiggle room” as they can find to preach good sounding imaginary biblical precepts that keep us thinking that we are somehow yet in good hands. It is clear that at the same time they make sure that they technically stay just far enough away from going directly to those areas of YHWH’s written laws, statutes and judgments so that it doesn’t seriously damage or even completely collapse their humanist (sinful) lifestyle and their (sin-forgiving) empire, should the public be re-educated to them again.

Some of you may remember what I have shown through many illustrations over the years, and that is that there is no such thing as a neutral ground between YHWH and satan. Therefore, there is also no neutral ground between the Father's Laws and satan's many imitation (make-believe) law systems that only distract us into further or prolonged rebellion against the Father's true recorded laws. And since there is no neutral ground, then there is no such thing as "unspoken laws" come of the Father (see 2nd Peter 1:20). He gave us all the laws we need right out in the open in His Holy Bible. Those who are speaking of these "natural laws", "common laws", "unspoken laws" or "unwritten laws" are only perhaps impenitent sinners desperate in a last-ditch effort to keep you from understanding this simple concept: **You either obey the God that Created us by His own written system of law and justice... or you don't.** –there's no so-called neutral ground or any place for moderates to go when we die. We either follow the Father's system (that properly rewards those who also embrace The Father's legislative brilliance) and enter into His eternal promises for us, or we snub His system of grace and go to the lake of fire with satan and all the rest who thought they could write/imagine their own "more natural" or "more common" system and therefore *"be like the most high"*.

There are those who might say, *"God is a very wise and amazing lawgiver! Let's then return to the wonderful laws that God has implanted in our hearts as His Creation!"* and even though that might sound really warm and fuzzy on the outside, it might really be saying, *"Yes, God has written some pretty good laws in that Bible of His, but let's make up some nice new ones on our own that "We the People" would feel offers all of us the most loving way to live (around those written laws) even better.*

See the difference and the trickery there?

"Be ye not deceived!"

It is here that I would like to ask those who love their Savior to think very deeply on this very tiny passage:

"Sanctify them through thy truth: thy word is truth." (John 17:17)

YHWH's spoken "Word" is truth my friends. One's word is his will. YHWH's Word is, and always has been, His instructional law of love for mankind. His law of love is the law that was cast in stone for our very protection by Him, Himself knowing that we would find ways to side-step that Law, replacing them with laws that were not "true". All who have, even in the smallest ways, contributed towards growing this massive surrogate system of law for satan, will have prices to pay, lest they repent. They have been "bearing false witness" and denying the very truth of the universe that was again, "cast in stone" by YHWH to keep us safe, and even from our own unpredictable friends and neighbors.

The world's "law gods" will have to pay ever so dearly for having seduced the masses into these paths of their own deceptive formatting. But so too, will all of us who have

foolishly fallen for this scheme and/or had “*stayed thereon*” (see Isaiah 30:12,13) have prices to pay as well, for not having “*searched the Scriptures daily to see if [this law] was so*” nor had stepped far and away from the least part of such unbiblical evils to then (as proper ambassadors for the Father’s truth at that point) sound any “*trumpets of alarm*” about these people (see Ezekiel ch 33:1-20), as we were all additionally very clearly warned about keeping an eye out for such “*vain deceit*” and we were warned... by that very same, perfectly written, “Book of the Law”:

And this I say, lest any man should beguile you with enticing words. For though I be absent in the flesh, yet am I with you in the spirit, joying and beholding your order, and the steadfastness of your faith in Christ. As ye have therefore received Christ Jesus the Lord, so walk ye in him: Rooted and built up in him, and stablished in the faith, as ye have been taught [by scripture], abounding therein with thanksgiving. Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy ^[G5385]; see below] and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ.

(Colossians 2:4-8)

Strong’s Greek dictionary #G5385 Φιλοσοφία
 philosophia
 fil-os-of-ee'-ah
 From [G5386](#); “philosophy”, that is, (specifically) Jewish *sophistry*: - philosophy.

Webster’s 1828 dictionary:
SOPH'ISTRY, n.
 1. Fallacious reasoning; reasoning sound in appearance only. These men have obscured and confounded the nature of things by their false principles and wretched sophistry.

We must be careful to not let those who have created this monstrous maze of confusing humanist laws, deceive us right back into their many tempting “unspoken” tyranny laws again (such as we now so clearly see in our own U.S. Constitution), falsely labeling them as “God’s Law” in various ways. As soon as anyone in a society starts to head into areas of law that they say are “biblical” and they then call their offered system something like “common law” or “natural law” (or any other new up-and-coming law system that is not written down in black and white), always ask them, “*Hey my friend, where are these laws written down so I can read them over and see if they are compatible with the Father’s Laws in the Bible?*”. They will often then side-step your request, as it is then most likely that it is an intangible law system that is intended to distract folks from, and/or to continue to replace, biblical law. Otherwise, they could just simply use the written laws, statutes and judgments from YHWH’s Bible itself, Amen?

Coming to our more educated conclusion here then, any so-called “Christian” or “biblical” law system that is not specifically defined, and/or therefore found to be

accurately consistent with, the written laws of the God of the Bible, and either referring back to, or utilizing the exact verses and stanzas of the timeless Law of the Bible, are merely satanic distractions and decoys, being just various additional illusionary mirrors to reflect the cleverly crafted sacred writings of the secret society lawmakers, not YHWH. And again, when realizing that there is no such thing as a neutral ground between the instruction of YHWH and His call to a loving maturity through obedience, and satan's hatred for the love that YHWH has for His obedient, it is clear that any deviation from the simple, written laws, statutes, and judgments of YHWH, are all laws originating from the pits of hell.

So if some of you still want to play word games on the bad side of the playpen with the world's now exposed luciferians and trust in their decoy phrases and unwritten law systems, common law, natural law, political correctness, the Hague, etc, then I'll just pray that the Father will have mercy on such souls as I continue to go by my Father's **Biblical** Law, waiting for the slowpokes to catch up. (sure hope there's time left for that though.)

And please remember that I am still a preacher by commission, so I would like to add just one more tid-bit of salvational insurance thinking for some of us before I go: Are we thereby being faithful to our King of kings? Do we proclaim with all boastfulness that we are Christians, but at the same time we are card-carrying members of the vast gratuity-based law system of satan, run by his kingdom of humanist lawyers and politicians? If we are yet trying to "split loyalties" between these two entities, their two kingdoms, and their two law systems, then which side are we truly going to be found "loyal" or "faithful" to? If some of us haven't stepped out of our legal ties with satan's prostitutes and the "deals" that we have literally signed with them yet, might we suggest some reflection upon Revelation 3:16?

There is but one law: YHWH's. It doesn't get any simpler than that.

Its decision time brothers and sisters, "*choose ye this day whom ye will serve.*"

In YHWH's perfect Law of love that was cast in stone forever for our own protection,

-dwaine moore

Biblical Correctness Ministries

My two “super condensed” versions of this writing (*for the “attention span impaired”*):

“Outlaws against YHWH’s biblical Law system (who didn’t like being called outlaws), gradually found ways to confuse, rewrite, and eliminate the Laws of God, replacing His system with their own ‘outlaw-friendly’ laws and then told the world that they should now be called “lawyers” and “politicians”. The world’s less educated sinners ignorantly applauded, signed up to idolize them, and began to pay their fees.”

- or, even more simply put -

“The world’s *crooked* sinners found a way to tell people they had improved God’s Laws. —The *gullible* sinners fell for it.”

-dwaine

“But he said unto them, Why do ye also transgress the commandment of God by your tradition? ... Thus have ye made the commandment of God of none effect by your tradition.”

(Matthew 15:3-6)

“Woe unto you, lawyers! For ye have taken away the key of knowledge: ye entered not in yourselves, and them that were entering in ye hindered.”

(Luke 11:52)

The law of YHWH is perfect, converting the soul: the testimony of YHWH is sure, making wise the simple. The statutes of YHWH are right, rejoicing the heart: the commandment of YHWH is pure, enlightening the eyes. The fear of YHWH is clean, enduring for ever: the judgments of YHWH are true and righteous altogether. More to be desired are they than gold, yea, than much fine gold: sweeter also than honey and the honeycomb. Moreover by them is thy servant warned: and in keeping of them there is great reward.

(Psalms 19:7-11)

Learn more. Stay updated.

www.bcmin.us